

~~SECRET~~

18 September 1968

MEMORANDUM FOR: SPA/D/CRS

SUBJECT : Items for discussion at next meeting of OCRHS

1. Attached are two draft papers; one, Various Subject Concepts for Development in OCR Historical Project, is intended to list major headings to be considered for our share of the OCR History. They are arranged in several ways, and might even be considered as a crack at an outline. Most of the subjects we are using currently for our card indexes are covered.

2. The second draft paper, Questions for Discussion in Connection with OCR Historical Project, could be helpful in calling attention to elements that may or may not be included in our topics to be considered. They are some of the questions the answers to which may help clarify in our own view of what happened in OCR during the past 15 or so years.

3. On 26 August 1968, I submitted to you a memorandum Preliminary Assignments of Topics of OCR Historical Interest.

25X1A9a



25X1A9a

cc:



~~SECRET~~

DRAFT

SECRET

VARIOUS SUBJECT CONCEPTS FOR DEVELOPMENT IN OCR HISTORICAL PROJECT

17 September 1968

TYPE	VERNACULAR	GOVERNMENT PROSAIC	CURRENT HEADINGS IN USE	TOPIC CHANGES
I. What was OCD trying to accomplish?	Mission	A. Central Reference Concept and Organization	I. Responsibilities of OCR a. As assigned b. As interpreted	
a. Tie-in with previous history b. Authorization for specific OCD functions				
II. How?	Services	II. The Challenge		
a. Collection b. Control c. Dissemination d. Production (CR Reports, BR, etc.) e. Liaison f. Special Support		B. Collection and Procurement C. The Document Flow: Receipt, Indexing, Storage, Retrieval and Dissemination F. Customer Support & Relations G. Community Support & Relations H. Foreign Documents Division in OCR	(Expansion of the headings and ideas under II. How?)	
III. With what?	Resources	III. How OCR Met the Challenge?		
a. Personnel b. Budget c. Machines: 1. Intellofax 2. Codeword 3. Minicard 4. EDP developments 5. CHIVE 6. Combinations		E. Problems of Organization and Administration D. Systems Development and Machine Support	(Expansion of the headings and ideas under III. With what?)	

Approved For Release 2000/04/18 : CIA-RDP84-00981R000100010003-1

SECRET

(continued on following page)

Approved For Release 2000/04/18 : CIA-RDP84-00951R000100080031-1

SECRET

(continued on following page)

TYPES: VERNACULAR

GOVERNMENT PROSAIC

CURRENT HEADINGS IN USE

TOPIC CHANGES

IV. Housekeeping requirements:

Accountability

IV. Administrative responsibilities

- Statistics
- Studies of OCD
- Planning
- OCD Publications
- Committees

- E. Problems of Organization and Administration
- K. Special Surveys of OCD Management and Organization
- E. Problems of Organization and Administration
- I. OCR Publications
- F/G. Customer and/or Community Support and Relations

(Expansion of IV. Housekeeping requirements. See also Appendix for special items)

V. Hindrances:

Problems

V. Operational Handicaps

- Customer disinterestedness in OCD, - does not know what he wants or what OCD has
- Interdepartmental rivalries (State, DoD, etc.)
- Release of intelligence documents
- Support type (OCD) personnel drew lower salaries than production type; work interest
- Frequent surveys of OCD
- Lack of CIA interest and support for emergency planning
- Poor buildings until 1961, - since then, proliferation of changes and new buildings

- F. Customer Support and Relations
- G. Community Support and Relations
- G. Community Support and Relations
- E. Problems of Organization and Administration
- K. Special Surveys of OCD Management and Organization
- E. Problems of Organization and Administration
- J. Physical Plant

(Expansion of V. Hindrances. Consider also such factors as the increasing layers of DDI administrative channels for decision making, the role of personalities, etc.)

**SECRET**

(continued on following page)

**SECRET**

Approved For Release 2000/04/18 : CIA-RDP84-00951R000100080031-1

3 (continued)

<u>TYPES:</u>	<u>VERNACULAR</u>	<u>GOVERNMENT PROSAIC</u>	<u>CURRENT HEADINGS IN USE</u>	<u>TOPIC CHANGES</u>
VI. Summary		Conclusions		VI. Evaluation (Re-study I. above in light of II-V)
VII. Appendix		Same		VII. Same
a. Index				
b. Chronology of Major Events, 1952-66				
c. Annotated List of OCR Publications				
d. List of Personnel Interviewed and Contributors				
e. Monographs on special subjects				

25X1A9a

17 September 1968

**SECRET**

Approved For Release 2000/04/18 : CIA-RDP84-00951R000100080031-1

QUESTIONS FOR DISCUSSION IN CONNECTION WITH OCR HISTORICAL PROJECT

1. Did OCR develop its facilities and capabilities because analysts (users) requested them, or was it done the way it was because this was what OCR thought analysts needed? Did need dictate policy or vice-versa? Was it perhaps a compromise of the two?
2. As a result, did OCR not have a tendency to use existing equipment for its machine-based information retrieval operations? Would it not have been better to devise the system most nearly accepted by a majority of users and OCR personnel and then help the manufacturers design the equipment?
3. Was OCR really lax in considering switching from ADP to EDP equipment?
4. Why did OCR not concentrate exclusively on building up its services for CIA primarily and let the community develop its own collective or specific resources?
5. What effect has the proliferation of administrative levels in DDI specifically and CIA generally, had on the role of OCR? In the formative years of OCR, the AD had direct access to the DCI. As time went on, layers of administrative channels were added. To mention but a few, Asst. to the DDI (Planning), another one for Administration, Deputy DDI, ISS, DDI; also add Asst. to the Director of Finance for ADP; add further Office of Planning, Programming and Budgeting, etc., etc. Does not paper work required merely for administrative justifications alone eventually smother time required for operational needs and direction? This whole process, by the way, is emulated by OCR itself.
6. Should OCR have received greater recognition for the large number of personnel who were grabbed off by other CIA offices, at better pay, and were successes? Cite names?
7. Was enough attention called to earlier area subject concentrations by OCR, as in several of the Registers, Library, etc.; emphasis on USSR, China, Europe, etc.?
8. Why was there not more of a rotation program for higher echelon OCR personnel in order to give them broader administrative experience, new incentives, etc.?
9. Who originated the requirement for the Library Consultants' Report? Document.
10. What role did some of OCR's strong personalities play in promoting/hindering acceptance of OCR as a major contributor to the intelligence effort? Cite names?

25X1A9a

SECRET